

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,770	11/29/2001	Akira Yamano	02860.0698	1735
22852	7590 11/22/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN	N, HENDERSON, FAR	HANG, VU B		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/995,770	YAMANO, AKIRA
Examiner	Art Unit
Vu B. Hang	2625

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Vu B. Hang	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	HE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
	NDMENTS							
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•					
4. [•		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6.	 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. 🔀	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed:		•					
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>29-32,38-54 and 58</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28 and 33-37</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.				
	∑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
			DANG BOY SOME					
		SUDEDVIS	ORY PATENT EXAM	MINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim limitations..."the sharpness-evaluationg pattern includes morethan four kinds of pattern elements, spatial frequencies of the pattern elements are different relative to each other and in of which 1 to 20 periods of each spatial frequency is aligned" found in claim 1, along with the newly added claims 59-85, raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The application relies on the newly added claim limitations that are not being entered. The rejections from the previous office action are still being met by the prior art references.

Va Hong